1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## Case 3:12-cv-03943-CRB Document 105 Filed 04/02/14 Page 1 of 2 Case3:12-cv-03943-CRB Document102 Filed03/28/14 Page1 of 2 MANDATORY CHAMBERS COPY George D. Niespolo (SBN 72107) Allegra A. Jones (SBN 236518) DUANE MORRIS LLP Spear Tower One Market Plaza, Suite 2200 San Francisco, CA 94105-1127 Telephone: 415.957.3000 Facsimile: 415.957.3001 E-mail: gdniespolo@duanemorris.com aajones@duanemorris.com Attorneys for Defendant MONA DAGGETT IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LANE BAULDRY, Case No.: 3:12-cv-03943-CRB Plaintiff, JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING FURTHER EXTENSION OF TIME v. FOR DEFENDANT MONA DAGGETT TOWN OF DANVILLE, COUNTY OF CONTRA TO ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COSTA, CITY OF PIEDMONT, Government THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT Entities, MONA DAGGETT, CHRISTOPHER BUTLER, DEPUTY STEPHEN TANABE, DEPUTY TOM HENDERSON, SERGEANT ANDY WELLS and DOES 1 to 50, inclusive, Defendants. Plaintiff Lane Bauldry ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Mona Daggett ("Daggett") (collectively the "Stipulating Parties") hereby stipulate as follows: WHEREAS, after the Court issued an order on April 23, 2013 granting in part and denying in part the motion to dismiss of defendants Sergeant Andrew Wells and the City of Piedmont, Plaintiff filed the "Third Amended Complaint for Damages, for Violations of Civil Rights and Other Wrongs" (hereinafter "TAC") on June 3, 2013; WHEREAS, pursuant to joint stipulations including, most recently, the joint stipulation dated March 7, 2014 and this Court's related order which was signed on March 11, 2014 and filed with the court on March 12, 2014, Daggett's current deadline for answering the TAC is March 28, 2014;

DM1\4553007

1

STIPULATION RE: TIME TO ANSWER TO THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. 3:12-cv-03943-CRB

	Case3:12-cv-03943-CRB Document102 Filed03/28/14 Page2 of 2
1	WHEREAS, since Plaintiff filed TAC, the Stipulating Parties have continued to discuss this
2	action and have agreed to extend the deadline for Daggett to answer the TAC to, and including,
3	April 25, 2014;
4	WHEREAS, an extension of time for answering the TAC is supported by good cause as it
5	will not prejudice any party to this action and does not interfere with any court-mandated deadlines;
6	THEREFORE, the Stipulating Parties request that the Court extend the deadline for Daggett
7	to answer Plaintiff's TAC from March 28, 2014 to, and including, April 25, 2014.
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
9	
10	Dated: March 28, 2014 DUANE MORRIS LLP
11	
12	By: /s/ Allegra A. Jones Allegra A. Jones
13	Attorneys for Defendant MONA DAGGETT
14	
15	Dated: March 28, 2014 THE HALEY LAW OFFICES
16	
17	By: /s/ Matthew Haley Matthew Haley
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff LANE BAULDRY
19	
20	ATTESTATION: Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the filer attests that concurrence in the
21	filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories thereto.
22	
23	ORDER
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	
26	Dated: April 2, 2014
27	JUDGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
28	
	DM1\4553007.1 2

STIPULATION RE: TIME TO ANSWER TO THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. 3:12-cv-03943-CRB

Case 3:12-cv-03943-CRB Document 105 Filed 04/02/14 Page 2 of 2